

STATE OF INDIANA

FILED

JAN 0 6 2009

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

INDIANA UTILITY)REGULATORY COMMISSION PETITION OF NORTHERN INDIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY ("NIPSCO") FOR (1) AUTHORITY TO MODIFY ITS RATES AND CHARGES FOR ELECTRIC UTILITY SERVICE; (2) APPROVAL OF NEW SCHEDULES OF RATES AND CHARGES APPLICABLE THERETO; (3) APPROVAL OF REVISED DEPRECIATION ACCRUAL RATES; (4) INCLUSION IN ITS BASIC RATES AND CHARGES OF THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH CERTAIN PREVIOUSLY **APPROVED QUALIFIED POLLUTION CONTROL** PROPERTY PROJECTS; (5) AUTHORITY TO IMPLEMENT A RATE ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM PURSUANT TO IND. CODE § 8-1-2-42(a) TO (A) TIMELY RECOVER CHARGES AND **CREDITS** FROM REGIONAL TRANSMISSION **AND ORGANIZATIONS** NIPSCO'S **TRANSMISSION CAUSE NO. 43526 (B)** REVENUE REQUIREMENTS; **TIMELY** RECOVER **PURCHASED POWER** COSTS; NIPSCO'S AND ALLOCATE NIPSCO'S OFF SYSTEM SALES REVENUES; (6) **VARIOUS** APPROVAL OF **CHANGES** TO NIPSCO'S ELECTRIC SERVICE TARIFF INCLUDING WITH RESPECT TO THE GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS, THE ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY MECHANISM AND **EXPENSE** ENVIRONMENTAL **MECHANISM:** APPROVAL OF THE CLASSIFICATION OF NIPSCO'S FACILITIES AS TRANSMISSION OR DISTRIBUTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE **FEDERAL** REGULATORY COMMISSION'S SEVEN-FACTOR TEST; AND (8) APPROVAL OF AN ALTERNATIVE REGULATORY PLAN PURSUANT TO IND. CODE § 8-1-2.5-1 ET SEQ. TO THE EXTENT SUCH RELIEF IS NECESSARY TO EFFECT THE RATEMAKING **MECHANISMS PROPOSED**

NORTHERN INDIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY'S THIRD SET OF CORRECTIONS AND/OR REVISIONS TO CASE-IN-CHIEF

NIPSCO.

Pursuant to Paragraph 15 of the Prehearing Conference Order in this Cause, Petitioner Northern Indiana Public Service Company ("NIPSCO") submits the following corrections and/or revisions to the prepared direct testimony and exhibits of Witnesses Linda E. Miller and Paul R. Moul of its case-in-chief filed with the Commission on August 29, 2008 and in Witness Miller's

case as revised in Petitioner's Submission of Revised and Supplemental Testimony filed with the Commission on December 19, 2008:

- Linda E. Miller Testimony (Volume 1). Petitioner's Exhibit LEM-3 (Revised),
 Adjustment PF-1 Changed "8.34%" to "8.37%".
- Linda E. Miller Testimony (Volume 1). Petitioner's Exhibit LEM-5 (Revised),
 Page 20 of 2 Changed "Page 20 of 2" to "Page 1 of 3".
- Linda E. Miller Testimony (Volume 1). Petitioner's Exhibit LEM-5 (Revised),
 Page 2 of 2 Changed to "Page 2 of 2" to "Page 2 of 3".

Item 1 corrects a typographical error. Items 2 and 3 correct a mistake in the labeling of the first two pages of <u>Petitioner's Exhibit LEM-5 (Revised)</u>. NIPSCO did not intend to eliminate page 3 of this exhibit which was not affected by the December 19th revisions. For the convenience of the Commission and the parties, a clean copy of Witness Miller's entire set of exhibits (<u>Petitioner's Exhibits LEM-2 through LEM-5</u> and <u>Petitioner's Exhibit LEM-10</u>), as corrected and revised to date, is attached hereto. The attached exhibits are in the form that Petitioner intends to offer in evidence at the hearing in this proceeding.

- 4. Paul R. Moul Testimony (Volume 5). Petitioner's Exhibit PRM-1, Page 43, line 7

 Changed "49.76%" to "49.94%".
- 5. Paul R. Moul Testimony (Volume 5). Petitioner's Exhibit PRM-1, Page 43, line 8
 Changed "50.23%" to "50.05%".
- 6. Paul R. Moul Testimony (Volume 5). Petitioner's Exhibit PRM-1, Page 43, line 10 Changed "49.76%" to "49.94%".

- 7. Paul R. Moul Testimony (Volume 5). Petitioner's Exhibit PRM-1, Page 43, line 20 after the words "To this amount, I added" added the words "\$322,446,402 for Sugar Creek and".
- 8. Paul R. Moul Testimony (Volume 5). Petitioner's Exhibit PRM-1, Page 44, line 1

 Changed "\$152,587,331" to "\$154,082,622".
- 9. <u>Paul R. Moul Testimony (Volume 5)</u>. <u>Petitioner's Exhibit PRM-1</u>, Page 44, line 2

 Changed "\$6,482,337,974" to "\$6,806,279,666".
- 10. <u>Paul R. Moul Testimony (Volume 5)</u>. <u>Petitioner's Exhibit PRM-1</u>, Page 44, line 4

 Changed "\$2,341,480,136" to "\$2,665,421,829".
- 11. <u>Paul R. Moul Testimony (Volume 5)</u>. <u>Petitioner's Exhibit PRM-1</u>, Page 44, line 8

 Changed "\$4,401,736,848" to "\$4,733,099,690".
- 12. <u>Paul R. Moul Testimony (Volume 5)</u>. <u>Petitioner's Exhibit PRM-1</u>, Page 44, line 9 (entire table) Changed to the following:

Valuation Method	Amount	Weight	W	eighted Amount
Replacement Cost	\$ 6,806,279,666	49.94%	\$	3,399,056,065
Original Cost	\$ 2,665,421,829	50.05%	\$	1,334,043,625
Fair Value		99.99%	\$	4,733,099,690

13. Paul R. Moul (Volume 5). Page 3 of 29 of Petitioner's Exhibit PRM-2 has been revised to reflect changes in the capitalization ratios; cost rates and interest coverage ratios.

Items 4 through 13 reflect changes required due to the December 19th revisions to Witness Miller's exhibits. A clean copy of the pages reflecting the revisions noted in Items 4

through 13 above is attached hereto. The attached revised pages will be included in Witness Moul's testimony and exhibits that Petitioner will offer in evidence at the hearing in this proceeding.

- 14. <u>Vincent V. Rea Testimony (Volume 5)</u>. <u>Petitioner's Exhibit VVR-1</u>, Page 8, Line
 14 Changed to "Page 1 of 2" to "Page 1 of 1".
- 15. Vincent V. Rea Testimony (Volume 5). Petitioner's Exhibit VVR-1, Page 11, Line 11 through Page 12, Line 5 – Deleted Question/Answer 25 in its entirety and Question/Answer 26 was renumbered.
- Vincent V. Rea Testimony (Volume 5). Petitioner's Exhibit VVR-2, Page 2 of 2
 Deleted in its entirety.

Items 14 through 16 reflect changes required due to the December 19 revisions to Witness Miller's exhibits. A clean copy of the pages reflecting the revisions noted in Items 14 through 16 above is attached hereto. The attached pages will be included in Witness Rea's testimony and exhibits that Petitioner will offer in evidence at the hearing in this proceeding.

17. <u>Timothy A. Dehring (Volume 2)</u>. <u>Petitioner's Exhibit TAD-1</u>, Page 18, Line 7 – Changed "\$1.9" to "\$1.4".

Item 17 corrects a typographical error. A clean copy of the page reflecting the revision noted in Item 17 above is attached hereto. The attached page will be included in Witness Dehring's testimony and exhibits that Petitioner will offer in evidence at the hearing in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel W. McGill (No. 9849-49)

Nicholas K. Kile (No. 15203-53)

P. Jason Stephenson(No. 21839-49)

Claudia J. Earls (No. 8468-49)

BARNES & THORNBURG LLP

11 S. Meridian Street

Indianapolis, IN 46204

Phone: 317.236.1313

Fax: 317.231.7433

Email: dan.mcgill@btlaw.com

nicholas.kile@btlaw.com

jason.stephenson@btlaw.com

claudia.earls@btlaw.com

Christopher C. Earle (No. 10809-49)

NORTHERN INDIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY

101 W. Ohio Street, Suite 1707

Indianapolis, IN 46204

Phone: 317.684.4901

Fax: 317.684.4918

Email: cearle@nisource.com

Attorneys for Petitioner NORTHERN INDIANA PUBLIC

SERVICE COMPANY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of Northern Indiana Public Service Company's Third Set of Corrections has been served upon the following by hand delivery and/or email transmission addressed to:

Randall Helmen
Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor
National City Center
115 West Washington St., Ste. 1500-S
Indianapolis, IN 46204
rhelmen@oucc.in.gov

Robert W. Wright
Dean-Webster & Wright, LLP
50 South Meridian Street, Ste. 500
Indianapolis, IN 46204
rwright@dwwlegal.com

John F. Wickes, Jr.
Bette J. Dodd
Lewis & Kappes, P.C.
One American Square, Ste. 2500
Indianapolis, IN 46282-0003
bdodd@lewis-kappes.com
jwickes@lewis-kappes.com

Shaw R. Friedman
Friedman& Associates, P.C.
705 Lincolnway
LaPorte, IN 46350
sfriedman.associates@verizon.net

Anne E. Becker Stewart & Irwin PC 251 East Ohio Street, Suite 1100 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2147 abecker@silegal.com Jerry Polk, Esq. 101 West Ohio Street, Ste 2000 Indianapolis, IN 46204 jpolk@polk-law.com

David H. Nicholls, Esq. 117 1/2 West Joliet Street Crown Point, IN 46307 dhnichols@ameritech.net

Donald P. Levinson
Shana D. Levinson
122 West 79th Avenue
Merrillville, IN 46410
donlevinson@airbaud.net
shanalevinson@airbaud.net

Richard Aikman Stewart & Irwin PC 251 East Ohio Street, Suite 1100 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2147 raikman@silegal.com

James W. Brew Brickfield Burchette Ritts & Stone, PC 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW Eighth Floor, West Tower Washington, D.C. 20007-5201 jbrew@bbrslaw.com

this 6th day of January, 2009.

Daniel W. McGill

INDS01 DWM 1093022v1

	•
e Allendra. De la companya de la co La companya de la co	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	•
	•

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Statement of Operating Income Actual, Pro Forms and Proposed For the Twelva Month Period Ending December 31; 2007

Line	B		£	•	Pro Forms Adjustments Increases	D-(Forma Results		Pro Forma Adjustments increases	Ref		o Forma Results sed on Proposed Rates
No.	Description A		Actual B		(Decreases) C	Ref.	Base	on Current Rates	-	(Decreases)	G	-	H
			ū		•			-		•	•		
1	Operating Revenue												
2	Revenue	\$	1,359,522,750				\$	1,400,964,753		85,744,828	PF-1	Ş	1,486,709,581
3	Abnormal Weather				(14,604,146)	REV-1							
4	EOR Revenue Imputation				1,432,424	REV - 2							
5 6	Special Contract Revenue Imputation FAC 71 Settlement				80,082,674	REV-3							
7	Non-recurring Revenue Financial transactions				33,500,000	REV - 4							
É					(2,203,737)	REV-8							
9	Major Industrial Contract Changes (Metal Malters) Unbified				(804,136) 10,955,615	REV-7							
10	Off-System Sales				(50,400,058)	REV-8							
11	2007 Emission Allowance Revenue				(11,790,599)	REV-9							
12	2007 Transmission Revenue				(4,726,034)	REV - 10							
					(
13	pbA												
14	Utility Receipts Tax (related to fuel and purchased power)				-					7,177,052	A3-XTO		7,177,052
15	Total Operating Revenue	_5_	1,359,522,750	₺_	41,442,003			1,400,964,753	\$	92,921,880		_\$_	1,493.886.633
16	Fuel and Purchased Power	\$	548,972,918				\$	524,316,389				\$	524,316,389
17	Fuel Related to Operating Revenue Adjustments				(3,683,450)	FP-1							
18	Fuel Related to Operating Revenue (Metal Mellers)				(628,813)	FP-2							
19	Mobile Fuel Handling Expense				100,891	FP-3							•
20	Gas and Diesel				840,335	FP - 4							
21	Off-System Sales				(21,285,492)	FP-5							
22	***												
23	Add Utility Receipts Tax (related to fuel and purchased power)								s	7,177,052	OTX-6A	s	7,177,052
**	daily receipts 1 ar (reason to the ann parcison forms)				-				•	7,177,052	OINON	•	7,177,032
24	Total Fuel and Purchased Power	<u>s</u>	548,972,918	\$	(24,856,529)		5	524,316,389	5	7,177,052		S	531,493,441
25	Gross Margin	3	610,549,832	\$	66,095,532		\$	876,648,364	5	85,744,828		\$	962,393,192
26	Operations and Maintenance Expenses	2	299,413,573				s	341,707,536				s	341,707,536
27	Production Expenses (Contractors)	*	•		1,005,664	OM-1	•					-	
28	Variable Production Expenses				4,001,238	OM-2							
29	Pension				5,762,558	OM - 3							
30	FAS No. 106 Other Post Retirement Benefits				5,762,460	OM - 4							
31	Wage Increases				5,083,259	OM-5							
32	Incentive Compensation				(916,264)	OM - 6					•		
33	Workforce Aging				3,925,207	OM - 7							
34	Staffing Vacancies				5,016,101	OM-8							
35	Staffing Additions				6,413,789	OM-8							
36	Safety Program				448,589	OM - 10							
37	EEI Lobbying Expenses				(55,425)	OM - 11							
38	Goodwill Advertising				(60,083)	OM - 12							
39	Uncollectible Accounts				(200,000)	OM-13				194,292	PF-2		184,292
40	U.S. Postage Increase				71,795	OM - 14							
41	Gas & Diesel				799,403	OM- 15							
42	Tree Trimming Expense				2,078,499	QM-16							
43	NISource Corporate Allocations (NCSF)				(2,318,771)	QM - 17							
44	NIPSCO Common Allocations				3,167,121	OM - 18							
45	Advertising				(386,293)	OM - 19							
46	Selected Payments				(64,528)	OM - 20							
47 -	Indy Office Rent				28,785	OM - 21							
	Property insurance				2,067,189	OM - 22							
48					1,870,352	SCOM - 23							
49	Sugar Creek Variable Cost												
49 50	Sugar Creek Operating and Maintenance Cost				4,046,947	SCOM - 24							
49					4,046,947 (5,276,650)	SCOM - 24 OM - 25							
49 50	Sugar Creek Operating and Maintenance Cost	<u>.</u>	299,413,573	<u> </u>			, <u>\$</u>	341,707,536	\$	194,292			341,901,828
49 50 51 52	Sugar Creek Operating and Maintenance Cost Medical benefit cost Total Operations and Maintenance	<u>.</u>		_\$_	(5,276,650)					194,292			
49 50 51 52 53	Sugar Creek Operating and Maintenance Cost Medical benefit cost Total Operations and Maintenance Penreciation Expense	<u>.</u>	299,413,573 178,244,660	<u> </u>	(5,276,850) 42,293,963	OM-25	, \$	341,707,536 197,292,499	<u>s</u> s	194,292		<u>s</u>	341,901,828 197,292,499
49 50 51 52 53 54	Sugar Croek Operating and Maintenance Cost Medical benefit cost Total Operations and Maintenance Penreciation Expense Depreciation Expense (Common Allocation)	<u>s</u>		<u>.</u>	(5,276,850) 42,293,963 227,322	OM-25 / DA-1				194,292			
49 50 51 52 53 54	Sugar Creek Operating and Maintenance Cost Medical benefit cost Total Operations and Maintenance Penreciation Expense	\$		<u> </u>	(5,276,850) 42,293,963	OM-25				194,292			
49 50 51 52 53	Sugar Croek Operating and Maintenance Cost Medical benefit cost Total Operations and Maintenance Penreciation Expense Depreciation Expense (Common Allocation)	\$		\$	(5,276,850) 42,293,963 227,322	OM-25 / DA-1				194,292			

[•] Operating Revenue at Proposed Rates (Line 2, Column H) excludes Utility Receipts Tax on fuel and purchased power.

Line No.	Description A		Actual R		Pro Forma Adjustments Increases (Decreases)	Ref.		Forma Results I on Current Rates		Pro Forma Adjustments Increases (Decreases)	Ref G		o Forma Results sed on Proposed Rales H
	•		-		J	-		~		•	_		
<i>51</i>	Amortization Expense	2	15,673,481				s	31,014,824	s			5	31,014,824
58	Amortization Expense (Reg Assets) - MISO	•			8,258,052	DA-3					ر		
59	Amortization Expense (Reg Assets) - Rate Case				1,979,288	DA-4							
-60	Amortization Expense (Reg Assets) - Pure Air				(935,424)	DA - 5							
61	Amortization Expense - Computer Software				40,657	DA - 6							
62	Sugar Creek - Deferred Depreciation				1,459,652	SCDA-7							
63	Sugar Creek - Deferred Carrying Charges				4,541,120	SCDA - 8							
64	Total Amortization Expense	5	15,673,481	5	15,341,343		s	31,014,824	s			s	31,014,824
65	Taxes												
65	Taxes Other than Income	\$	60,625,916				s	55,347,553				\$	55,347,553
67	Real Estate/Personal Property Tax - Common Allocation				(1,045,127)	OTX-1							
68	Federal Excise Tax - Common Allocation				(12,431)	OTX-2							
69	State Sales Tax- increase from 6% to 7%				98,809	OTX-3							
70	Property Tax Expense - NonUtility				(18,672)	OTX-4							
71	Payrofi Tax				1,257,455	OTX-5							
72	Indiana Utility Receipts Tax				(6,467,208)	OTX-6				1,200,428	PF-3		1,200,428
73	Public Utility Fee				211,218	OTX-7				103,237	PF - 4		103,237
74	Sugar Creek Property Tax				697,593	SCOTX-8							
75	Total Taxes Other Than Income	<u>s</u>	60,625,916	\$	(5,278,363)		s	55,347,553	\$	1,303,664		S	56,651,217
76	Income Taxes												
77	Federal and State Taxes	ş	90,098,476	\$	(11,868,829)	ffX-1	\$	78,229,847	\$	34,207,368	PF-5	\$	112,437,015
78	Total Taxes	5	150,724,392	\$	(17,147,192)		ş	133,577,200	ş	35,511,033		\$	169,088,233
79	Total Operating Expenses	5_	642,056,106	s	61,535,953		\$	703,592,059	5	35,705,325		s	739.297.384
80	Required Net Operating Income	-	168,493,726		4,562,579		s	173,056,305		50,039,503		<u> </u>	223,095,808
								,,				<u> </u>	

^{*} Operating Revenue at Proposed Rates (Line 2, Column H) excludes Utility Receipts Tax on fuel and purchased power.

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Calculation of Proposed Revenue Increase Based on Pro Forma Operating Results Original Cost Rate Base Estimated at December 31, 2007

2 Rate of Return 8.3 3 Required Net Operating Income 223,095,8 4 Pro Forma Net Operating Income 173,056,3 5 Increase in Net Operating Income (NOI Shortfall) 50,039,5 6 Effective Incremental Revenue(NOI Conversion Factor 58,3 7 Increase in Revenue Requirement (Based on Net Original Cost Rate Base) (Line 5 / Line 6) \$ 85,744,8 8 One 1,000000 9 Less: Public Utility Fee 0,001204 10 Less: Bad Debt 0,002286 11 One Less PUF, TURT, Bad Debt 0,996530 12 One 1,000000 13 Less: Public Utility Fee 0,014000 14 Taxable Adjusted Gross Income Tax 0,996530 15 Adjusted Gross Income Tax Rate 0,084705 16 Adjusted Gross Income Tax Rate 0,084705 17 Indiana State Income Tax Rate 0,085000 18 Indiana State Income Tax Rate 0,085000 19 Effective Indiana Income Tax Rate 0,085000 20 Line 11 less line 13 less line 19 0,084705	Line No.	Description		Revenue Deficiency
3 Required Net Operating Income 223,095,8 4 Pro Forma Net Operating Income 173,056,3 5 Increase in Net Operating Income (NOI Shortfall) 50,039,5 6 Effective Incremental RevenuelNOI Conversion Factor 58.3 7 Increase in Revenue Requirement (Based on Net Original Cost Rate Base) (Line 5 / Line 6) \$ 85,744,8 8 One 1.000000 9 Less: Public Utility Fee 0.001204 10 Less: Bad Debt 0.002286 11 One Less PUF, IURT, Bad Debt 0.996530 12 One 1.000000 13 Less: Public Utility Fee 0.014000 14 Taxable Adjusted Gross Income Tax 0.996530 15 Adjusted Gross Income Tax Rate 0.085000 16 Adjusted Gross Income Tax 0.996530 17 Indiana State Income Tax Rate 0.085000 18 Indiana State Income Tax Rate 0.085000 19 Effective Indiana Income Tax Rate 0.085000 10 1.000000 0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	1	Net Original Cost Rate Base		\$ 2,665,421,829
## Pro Forma Net Operating Income 173,056,3	2	Rate of Return		8.37%
5 Increase in Net Operating Income (NOI Shortfall) 50,039,5 6 Effective Incremental RevenuelNOI Conversion Factor 58.3 7 Increase in Revenue Requirement (Based on Net Original Cost Rate Base) (Line 5 / Line 6) \$ 85,744,8 8 One 1,000000 9 Less: Public Utility Fee 0,001204 10 Less: Bad Debt 0,002266 11 One Less PUF, IURT, Bad Debt 0,002266 12 One 1,000000 13 Less: Public Utility Fee 0,014000 14 Taxable Adjusted Gross Income Tax Ate 0,085000 15 Adjusted Gross Income Tax Rate 0,085000 16 Adjusted Gross Income Tax Rate 0,085000 17 Indiana Apportionment 0,996530 18 Indiana State Income Tax Rate 0,085000 19 Effective Indiana Income Tax Rate 0,085000 20 Line 11 less line 13 less line 19 0,897825	3	Required Net Operating Income		223,095,808
6 Effective Incremental RevenuelNOi Conversion Factor 58.3 7 Increase in Revenue Requirement (Based on Net Original Cost Rate Base) (Line 5 / Line 6) \$ 85,744,8 8 One 1.000000 9 Less: Public Utility Fee 0.001204 10 Less: Bad Debt 0.002286 11 One Less PUF, IURT, Bad Debt 0.996530 12 One 1.000000 13 Less: Public Utility Fee 0.014000 14 Taxable Adjusted Gross Income Tax Alte 0.085000 15 Adjusted Gross Income Tax Rate 0.085000 16 Adjusted Gross Income Tax Rate 0.996530 17 Indiana Apportionment 0.996530 18 Indiana State Income Tax Rate 0.085000 19 Effective Indiana Income Tax Rate 0.085000 20 Line 11 less line 13 less line 19 0.897825	4	Pro Forma Net Operating Income		173,056,305
Increase in Revenue Requirement (Based on Net Original Cost Rate Base) (Line 5 / Line 6) \$ 85,744,8	5	Increase in Net Operating Income (NOI Shortfall)		50,039,503
8 One 1.000000 9 Less: Public Utility Fee 0.001204 10 Less: Bad Debt 0.002266 11 One Less PUF, IURT, Bad Debt 0.996530 12 One 1.000000 13 Less: Public Utility Fee 0.014000 14 Taxable Adjusted Gross Income Tax 0.996530 15 Adjusted Gross Income Tax 0.085000 16 Adjusted Gross Income Tax Rate 0.085000 17 Indiana Apportionment 0.996530 18 Indiana State Income Tax Rate 0.085000 19 Effective Indiana Income Tax Rate 0.085000 20 Line 11 less line 19 0.897825	6	Effective Incremental RevenuelNOI Conversion Fact	or	58.36%
9 Less: Public Utility Fee 0.001204 10 Less: Bad Debt 0.002286 11 One Less PUF, IURT, Bad Debt 0.996530 12 One 1.000000 13 Less: Public Utility Fee 0.014000 14 Taxable Adjusted Gross Income Tax 0.996530 15 Adjusted Gross Income Tax ate 0.085000 16 Adjusted Gross Income Tax 0.996530 17 Indiana Apportionment 0.996530 18 Indiana State Income Tax Rate 0.085000 19 Effective Indiana Income Tax Rate 0.085000 19 Effective Indiana Income Tax Rate 0.085000 20 Line 11 less line 19 0.084705	7	Increase in Revenue Requirement (Based on Net O	riginal Cost Rate Base) (Line 5 / Line 6)	\$ 85,744,828
21 One 1,000000 22 Less: Federal Income Tax Rate 0,350000 23 One Less Federal Income Tax Rate 0,650000 24 Effective Incremental Revenue / NOI Conversion Factor 58,3	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Less: Public Utility Fee Less: Bad Debt One Less PUF, IURT, Bad Debt One Less: Public Utility Fee Taxable Adjusted Gross Income Tax Adjusted Gross Income Tax Rate Adjusted Gross Income Tax Indiana Apportionment Indiana State Income Tax Rate Effective Indiana Income Tax Rate Line 11 less line 13 less line 19 One Less: Federal Income Tax Rate One Less Federal Income Tax Rate	0.001204 0.002266 0.996530 0.085000 0.986530 0.085000 0.084705 0.084705 0.084705 0.084705 0.084705 0.084705 0.084705 0.084705	0000_

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Requested Revenue Increase Reconciliation For the Twelve Month Period Ended December 31, 2007

Line			Margin at	A	djustment to	Margin at		
No.	Description	P	resent Rates		Base Rates	Proposed Rates		
	A		В		С		D	
1	Base Revenue (less cost of fuel)	\$	836,907,692	\$	85,744,828	\$	922,652,520	
2	Add: ECRM	\$	-	\$	25,627,423	\$	25,627,423	
3	Add: EERM	\$		\$	14,113,249	\$	14,113,249	
4	Adjusted Base Revenue (less cost of fuel)	\$	836,907,692	\$	125,485,500	\$	962,393,192	
5	Riders / Trackers:							
. 6	ECRM	\$	25,627,423	\$	(25,627,423)	\$	_	
7	EERM	\$	14,113,249	\$	(14,113,249)	\$		
8	Proposed:							
9	Total Riders/Trackers	\$	39,740,672	\$	39,740,672	\$		
10	Total Margin	\$	876,648,364	\$	85,744,828	\$	962,393,192	
11	Net Increase/(Decrease) in Base Rate Revenue			\$	85,744,828			
12	Total Margin	\$	876,648,364	\$	85,744,828	\$	962,393,192	
13	Net Customer Bill Impacts, Net Increase (Decrease)			\$	85,744,828			

This pro forma adjustment decreased 2007 test year revenue to reflect revenue levels under normal weather conditions.

Line		
No.	Description	 Amount
	A	 В
1	Nacrossa in Pro Forma Tast Year Ravanua	\$ (14 604 146)

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year revenue to reflect Economic Development Rider rates charged to customers in economic development contracts.

Line	*	
No.	Description	Amount
	A .	В
1	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year Revenue	\$ 1,432,424

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year revenue to reflect the expiration of tariff rates in special contracts for certain large industrial customers.

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
	Α	В
1	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year Revenue	\$ 80.082.674

Petitioner's Exhibit No. LEM - 3 Cause No. 43526 Adjustment REV - 4 CORRECTED September 24, 2008

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Pro Forma Adjustment to Operating Revenue Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year revenue to reflect the removal of the reserve amount recorded in accordance with the settlement agreement in FAC 71.

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	В
1	Increase In Pro Forma Test Year Revenue	\$ 33,500,000

Petitioner's Exhibit No. LEM - 3 Cause No. 43526 Adjustment REV - 5 CORRECTED September 24, 2008

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Pro Forma Adjustment to Operating Revenue Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

This pro forma adjustment decreased 2007 test year revenue to reflect the amount related to the reversal of a reserve related to financial transactions.

ะเกษ		
No.	Description	 Amount
	A	В
1	Decrease in Pro Forma Test Year Revenue	\$ (2,203,737)

Petitioner's Exhibit No. LEM - 3 Cause No. 43526 Adjustment REV - 6 CORRECTED September 24, 2008

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Pro Forma Adjustment to Operating Revenue Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

This pro forma adjustment decreased 2007 test year revenue related to Rate 825 Metal Melters.

Line				
No.	D	escription		 Amount
		A		 В
			I .	
1	Decrease In Pro Forma Test Year Revenue			\$ (804,136)

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year revenue to eliminate an unbilled adjustment booked in 2007 related to prior years.

Line No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
1	Retail Rates	\$ 15,925,561
2	Other Revenue (Unbilled Deferred)	\$ (4,969,946)
3	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year Revenue	\$ 10,955,615

This pro forma adjustment decreased 2007 test year revenue to remove off-system sales revenue recorded in 2007.

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
	•	
1	Decrease in Pro Forma Test Year Revenue	\$ (50,400,058)

This pro forma adjustment decreased 2007 test year revenue to remove the sales of emission allowances in 2007.

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
1	Decrease in Pro Forma Test Year Revenue	\$ (11,790,599)

This pro forma adjustment decreased 2007 test year revenue to remove revenues related to MISO transmission rate schedules 7 and 8.

Line	Deparinties	Amerina
No.	Description A	Amount B
	^	b
1	Per FERC Form I (page 331)	
2	MISO SCH 7	\$ 1,986,657
3	MISO SCH 7	\$ 1,340,653
4	MISO SCH 8	<u>\$ 1,398,724</u>
5	Decrease in Pro Forma Test Year Revenue	\$ (4,726,034)

Petitioner's Exhibit No. LEM - 3 Cause No. 43526 Adjustment FP - 1 CORRECTED September 24, 2008

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Pro Forma Adjustment to Fuel and Purchased Power Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

This pro forma adjustment decreased 2007 test year fuel by the amount related to the proforma revenue adjustment for normal weather.

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
	Α Α	В
		•
1	2007 Weather Normalization KWH	(163,302,530)
2	Base Cost of Fuel	0.022556
4	Dase Cost of tuel	U.V.L.
3	Decrease in Pro Forma Test Year Fuel	\$ (3,683,450)

Petitioner's Exhibit No. LEM -3 Cause No. 43526 Adjustment FP - 2 CORRECTED September 24, 2008

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Pro Forma Adjustment to Fuel and Purchased Power Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

This pro forma adjustment decreased 2007 test year fuel related to the pro forma revenue adjustment for Rate 825 Metal Melters.

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
1	Decrease In Pro Forma Test Year Fuel	\$ (628,813)

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Pro Forma Adjustment to Fuel and Purchased Power Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year O&M expense to correct for fuel handling expenses improperly charged to the DH Mitchell Station.

Line		•
No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
	·	
1	Adjusted Fuel Handling Expense	\$ 605,349
.2	Period in Years	<u>6</u>
3	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$ 100,891

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Pro Forma Adjustment to Fuel and Purchased Power Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 fuel expense to reflect increased costs for gas and diesel fuel.

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
1	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year Fuel	\$ 840,335

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Pro Forma Adjustment to Fuel and Purchased Power Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

This pro forma adjustment decreased 2007 test year fuel related to 2007 off-system sales revenue.

Line	·	
No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
1	Decrease in Pro Forma Test Year Fuel	\$ (21 285 492)

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year O&M expense to reflect increased production expenses for contract labor levels.

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
1	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$ 1,006,664

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year O&M expense to adjust variable operating costs required to operate generating facilities.

Line No.	Description	A
110.	A	Amount B
	•	8
1	Variable Operating Costs related to Redispatch	\$ 56,311,398
2	2007 Variable Operating Costs	\$ 52,310,160
3	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$ 4,001,238

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year O&M expense to reflect pension costs.

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
1	Pension Expense (5-year average, 2004 - 2008)	\$ 2,139,542
2	Electric Allocation Rate	<u>69.15</u> %
3	Electric Portion	\$ 1,479,493
4	Capitalization Rate	<u>24.13</u> %
5	Electric Pension Expense Net of Capitalization	\$ 1,122,491
6	2007 Pension Expense	\$ (8,844,269)
7	Electric Allocation	<u>69.15</u> %
8	Electric Portion	\$ (6,115,812)
9.	Capitalized Rate	<u>24.13</u> %
10	2007 Electric Pension Expense Net of Capitalization	\$ (4,640,067)
11	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$ 5,762,558

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year O&M expense for increased costs related to post-retirement employee benefits.

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
	•	
1	2008 Post-Retirement Benefits	\$ 34,696,389
2	Electric Allocation Rate	<u>69.15</u> %
3	Electric Portion	\$ 23,992,553
4	Capitalization Rate	<u>24.13</u> %
5	2008 Post-Retirement Benefits, Net of Capitalization	<u>\$ 18,203,150</u>
6	Less: 2007 Post-Retirement Benefits	\$ 23,712,765
7	Electric Allocation Rate	<u>69.15</u> %
8	Electric Portion	\$ 16,397,377
9	Capitalization Rate	<u>24.13</u> %
10	2007 Post-Retirement Benefits, Net of Capitalization	\$ 12,440,690
11	Instruction Date Forms Total Voca ORM Formance	£ 5700.400
7.1	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$ 5,762,460

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year O&M expense to adjust for employee wage Increases.

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
<u></u>	A	В
		-
1	NIPSCO Wage Increase	
2	Non-Union	\$ 1,824,108
3	Bargaining Unit	
4	Physical	\$ 6,722,178
5	Clerical	<u>\$ 1,142,736</u>
6 -	Total NIPSCO Wage Increases	\$ 9,689,022
7	Electric Allocation Rate	<u>69.15</u> %
8	Electric Portion	\$ 6,699,959
9	Capitalization Rate	<u>24.13</u> %
10	Capitalized Portion	\$ 1,616,700
11	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$ 5,083,259

This pro forma adjustment decreased 2007 test year O&M expense to reflect ongoing levels of incentive compensation expenses.

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
		•
1	2008 Incentive Accrual at Threshold	6,534,006
2	Capitalization Rate	<u>24.13</u> %
3	Less: Capitalized Portion	1,576,656
4	2008 Incentive Accrual at Threshold, Net of Capitalization	\$ 4,957,350
5	Less: 2007 Expense	
6	2007 Incentive Accrual, Net of Capitalization	\$ 5,965,030
7	O&M Adjustment Related to 2006 Incentive Expensed in 2007	\$ 279,109
8	Total 2007 Expense	\$ 6,244,139
9	Adjustment Required to Incentive Compensation	\$ (1,286,789)
10	Profit Sharing O&M Adjustment	\$ (38,249)
11	Total	\$ (1,325,038)
12	Electric Allocation Rate	<u>69.15</u> %
13	Decrease in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$ (916,264)

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year O&M expense to reflect increased costs related to retirement replacements for aging workforce.

Line No.	Description	Amount
**************************************	A	В
1	Aging Workforce (5-Year Forecast)	\$ 19,626,036
2	Number of Years	5
3	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$ 3,925,207

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year O&M expense to reflect employee vacancies.

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
1	Gross	
2	Pay and Incentive	\$ 7,422,757
3	Benefits	\$ 2,138,258
. 4	Electric	
5	Electric Allocation Rate	69.15%
6	Pay and Incentive (Line 2 x Line 5)	\$ 5,132,836
7	Benefits (Line 3 x Line 5)	\$ 1,478,605
8	Capitalized Portion	
9	Capitalization Rate	24.13%
10	Capitalized Portion of Pay and Incentive (Line 6 x Line 9)	\$ 1,238,553
11	Capitalized Portion of Benefits (Line 7 x Line 9)	\$ 356,787
12	Allocated to Electric, Net of Capitalization	
13	O&M Net Electric- Pay & Incentive (Line 6 - Line 10)	\$ 3,894,283
14	O&M Net Electric- Benefits (Line 7 - Line 11)	\$ 1,121,818
15	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$ 5,016,101

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year O&M expense to reflect additional staffing costs due to organizational structure changes.

Line		_
No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
1	Pay and Incentive	\$ 5,012,218
2	Benefits	\$ 1,401,571
3	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$ 6,413,789

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year O&M expense related to new safety programs for the electric line safety initiative required by NESC standards.

Line				
No.	Description		Amount	
	A		В	
1	Payroll & Incentive	\$	194,629	
2	Purchases	\$	340,000	
3	Benefits	<u>\$</u>	56,631	
4	Total Safety Expenses	<u>\$</u>	591,260	
5	Capitalization Rate		24.13%	
6	Capitalized Portion	<u>\$</u>	142,671	
7	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	<u>\$</u>	448,589	

Petitioner's Exhibit No. LEM - 3 Cause No. 43526 Adjustment OM - 11 CORRECTED September 24, 2008

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Pro Forma Adjustment to Operation and Maintenance Expense Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

This pro forma adjustment decreased 2007 test year O&M expense to eliminate the Edison Electric Institute (EEI) dues related to lobbying.

Line			
No.	Description		Amount
	A		В
1	Adjustment to remove lobbying activities from 2007 invoice	\$	(128,013)
2	Adjustment of the net difference between the 2006 accrual and actual payment made in 2007	<u>\$</u>	72,588
3	Decrease in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$	(55,425)

Petitioner's Exhibit No. LEM - 3 Cause No. 43526 Adjustment OM - 12 CORRECTED September 24, 2008

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Pro Forma Adjustment to Operation and Maintenance Expense Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

This pro forms adjustment decreased 2007 test year O&M expense to eliminate general and goodwill advertising costs.

Line	December 2	Amount
No.	Description A	Amount B
		~
1	2007 General Advertising	\$ 59,692
2	1Q Common Allocation Adjustment	<u>\$ 371</u>
. 3	Decrease in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$ (60,063)

Petitioner's Exhibit No. LEM - 3 Cause No. 43526 Adjustment OM - 13 CORRECTED September 24, 2008

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Pro Forma Adjustment to Operation and Maintenance Expense Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

This pro forma adjustment decreased 2007 test year O&M expense to relect the ongoing level of bad debt expense per the Ballly N1 Refund Order, Cause No. 37972.

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
1	Decrease in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$ (200.000)

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year O&M expense to reflect the annualization of the U.S. postage increases for May 2007 and May 2008.

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
1	Increase for annualization of May 14, 2007 Postage increase	\$ 64,319
2	Increase for annualization of May 12, 2008 Postage increase	<u>\$ 119,821</u>
3	Total Increased Postage Costs	\$ 184,140
4	Common Allocation Customer Ratio	38.99%
5	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$ 71,796

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 O&M expense to reflect increased costs for gas and diesel fuel.

Line			
No.	Description	 	Amount
	A		В
1	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$	799,403

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year O&M Expense to reflect higher vegetation management and tree trimming expenses.

Line	•	
No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
1	Vegetation Management and Tree Trimming Expenses (2008 - 2012 Estimate)	\$ 61,139,470
2	Number of Years	5
3	Average Annual Expense	\$ 12,227,894
4	2007 Actuals	\$ 10,149,395
5	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$ 2,078,499

This pro forma adjustment decreased 2007 test year O&M expense to adjust for NiSource corporate services fees.

Line		
<u>No.</u>	Description	Amount
	. A	В
1	Decrease in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$ (2,318,771)

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year O&M expense to annualize a change resulting from an improvement in NIPSCO allocation methodology.

Line		
No.	Description	 lmount
	A	В
-		
1	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$ 3.187.121

This pro forma adjustment decreased 2007 test year O&M expense for non-recoverable advertising costs.

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
	Α ·	В
1	Allowable Advertising	\$ 250,721
2	2007 Actual Advertising Allocated to Electric	\$ 617,014
3	Decrease in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$ (366,293)

This pro forma adjustment decreased 2007 test year O&M expense to reflect certain non-recoverable charges.

Line		
No.	Description	 Amount
	A	 В
1	Decrease in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$ (84.528)

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year O&M expense to reflect office leasing fees for the new Indianapolis office.

Line			
No.	Description	A	mount
	A		В
1	Annual Rent Per lease	\$	76,635
2	Less: Lobbying Portion (12' x 12' office)	<u>\$</u>	2,808
3	Net Annual Rent Per Lease	<u>\$</u>	73,827
4	Common Allocation Customer Ratio		<u>38.99</u> %
5	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$	28,785

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year O&M expense to reflect higher property insurance costs due to increased insurance premiums effective July 2008.

Line	Procedutor	A
No.	Description	 Amount
	A	В
1	NiPSCO Property Insurance 2008 / 2009	\$ 7,204,113
2	NIPSCO Property Insurance 2007	\$ 5,136,924
3	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$ 2,067,189

Petitioner's Exhibit LEM-3 (Revised) Northern Indiana Public Service Company Cause No. 43526 Adjustment SCOM - 23

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Sugar Creek Pro Forma Adjustment to Operation and Maintenance Expense Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year O&M expense to adjust for Sugar Creek variable operating costs.

Line		
No.	Description	 lmount
	A	В
1	Maintenance Parts & Service	\$ 447,069
2	Long-Term Service Agreement	\$ 1,274,300
3	Chemicals	\$ 148,983
4	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$ 1,870,352

Petitioner's Exhibit LEM-3 (Revised) Northern Indiana Public Service Company Cause No. 43526 Adjustment SCOM - 24

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Sugar Creek Pro Forma Adjustment to Operation and Maintenance Expense Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year O&M expense to adjust for other Sugar Creek operating and maintenance costs.

Line No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
1	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$ 4,048,947

Petitioner's Exhibit LEM-3 (Revised) Northern Indiana Public Service Company Cause No. 43526 Adjustment OM - 25

Northern Indiana Public Service Company

Pro Forma Adjustment to Operation and Maintenance Expense Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

This pro forma adjustment decreased 2007 test year O&M expense to adjust for correction of active medical benefit costs.

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
1	Decrease in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense	\$. (5,276,650)

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year depreciation and amortization expense to reflect the change in common allocation methodology.

Line			
No.	Description	Amount	
	A	В	
	•		
1	Increase In Pro Forma Test Year Depreciation and Amortization Expense	\$ 227,322	

Petitioner's Exhibit LEM-3 (Revised) Northern Indiana Public Service Company Cause No. 43526 Adjustment DA - 2

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Pro Forma Adjustment to Depreciation and Amortization Expense Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year depreciation and amortization expense to reflect the expense amount calculated using new depreciation rates per the depreciation study.

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
1	2007 Actual Depreciation Expense	\$ 176,244,660
2	D&A Study Depreciation Expense	\$ 185,828,320
-	Date to the justification and the second	4 (20,000,000
3	Sugar Creek Depreciation Expense	\$ 11,236,857
4	Total Depreciation - New Rates and Sugar Creek	\$ 197,065,177
5	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year Depreciation and Amortization Expense	\$ 20,820,517

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year depreciation and amortization expense to account for the amortization of deferred MISO charges through December 31, 2008 over a three year period.

Line			
No.	Description		Amount
	A		В
1	Deferred MISO Charges @ 12/31/2007	· \$	13,990,057
2	Estimated Deferred MISO Charges 1/1/2008 thru 12/31/2008	· <u>\$</u>	10,778,099
3	Total Estimated Deferred MISO Charges	\$	24,768,156
4	Amortization Period in Years		<u>3</u>
5	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year Depreciation and Amortization Expense	\$	8,256,052

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Pro Forma Adjustment to Depreciation and Amortization Expense Twelve Months Ended December, 31 2007

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year depreciation and amortization expense for rate case costs amortized over a three year period.

Line		
No.	Description	 Amount
	Α	 В
1	Estimated Rate Case Expenses	\$ 5,937,859
2	Amortization Period in Years	 3
3	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year Depreciation and Amortization Expense	\$ 1,979,286

This pro forma adjustment decreased 2007 test year depreciation and amortization expense to eliminate the amortization costs of deferred pure air charges (Bailly Generating Station Scrubber). These charges will be fully amortized in 2008.

Line			
No.	Description	 	Amount
	Α	_	В
1	Decrease in Pro Forma Test Year Depreciation and Americation Expense	s	(935,424)

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year depreciation and amortization expense related to computer software costs allocated to common in the 1st quarter of 2007.

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
_		
1	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year Depreciation and Amoritization Expense	\$ 40,657

Petitioner's Exhibit LEM-3 (Revised) Northern Indiana Public Service Company Cause No. 43526 Adjustment SCDA - 7

Sugar Creek Pro Forma Adjustment to Depreciation and Amortization Expense Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year depreciation and amortization expense to amortize costs of deferred depreciation on Sugar Creek per Cause No. 43396.

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
1	Annual Depreciation	\$ 11,236,857
2	Annual Reduction (FAC71-S1)	\$ 4,500,000
3	Annual Depreciation Deferred	\$ 6,736,857
4	Months (December 1, 2008 through December 31, 2009)	<u>13</u>
5	Total Depreciation Deferred (Line 3 divided by 12, multiplied by 13)	\$ 7,298,262
6	Amortization Period in Years Per Cause No. 43396	<u>5</u>
7	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year Depreciation and Amortization Expense	\$ 1,459,652

Petitioner's Exhibit LEM-3 (Revised) Northern Indiana Public Service Company Cause No. 43526 Adjustment SCDA - 8

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Sugar Creek Pro Forma Adjustment to Depreciation Expense Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year depreciation and amortization expense to amortize the costs of deferred carrying charges on Sugar Creek per Cause No. 43396.

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
1	Sugar Creek Plant Cost (NBV at December 1, 2008)	\$ 322,446,401
2	Annual Interest Rate	<u>6.50</u> %
3	Annual Deferred Carrying Charges	\$ 20,959,016
4	Months (December 1, 2008 through December 31, 2009)	<u>13</u>
5	Deferred Carrying Charges for Sugar Creek (Line 3 divided by 12, multiplied by 13)	\$ 22,705,601
6	Amortization Period in Years Per Cause No. 43396	<u>5</u>
7	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year Depreciation and Amortization Expense	\$ 4,541,120
8		
	Deferred Carrying Charges for Sugar Creek	\$ 22,705,601
	Amortization Period in Years Per Cause No. 43396	<u>5</u>
	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year Depreciation and Amortization Expense	\$ 4,541,120

This pro forma adjustment decreased 2007 test year taxes other than income for electric property tax decreases due to changes in the common allocation methodology.

Line		•
No.	Description	Amount
	, A	В
1	2008 Electric Property Taxes	\$ 32,585,239
2	2007 Electric Property Taxes	\$ 33,630,366
3	Decrease in Pro Forma Test Year Taxes Other Than Income	<u>\$ (1,045,127)</u>

This pro forma adjustment decreased 2007 test year taxes other than income for the Federal Excise Tax allocated to common in the 1st quarter of 2007.

Line		_
No.	<u>Description</u>	Amount
	A	В
1	Decrease in Pro Forma Test Year Taxes Other Than Income	\$ (12,431)

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year taxes other than income to adjust for the increase in the state sales tax rate from six percent to seven percent.

Line No.	Description		Amount
	A		В
1	Sales Tax charged to Electric O&M	\$	592,853
2	2007 Sales Tax Rate		<u>6.00</u> %
3	Taxable Purchases	\$	9,880,883
4	New Sales Tax Rate		7.00%
5	Adjustable Taxable Purchases	\$	691,662
J	Adjustable Taxable Fulcitases	<u>*</u>	091,002
6	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year Taxes Other Than Income (Line 5 - Line 1)	<u>\$</u>	98,809

This pro forma adjustment decreased 2007 test year taxes other than income to remove nonutility property taxes that were misclassified.

Line				
No.	Description		 Amount	
1	Decrease in Pro Forma Test Year Taxes Other Than Income	•	\$ (18.672)	

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year taxes other than income to adjust for payroll, incentive, social security and hospital insurance adjustments.

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
1	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year Taxes Other Than Income	\$ 1,257,455

This pro forma adjustment decreased 2007 test year taxes other than income for the utility receipts tax related to the pro forma revenue adjustments.

Line			
No.	Description		Amount
	A		В
1	2007 Pro Forma Revenue	\$	1,400,964,753
2	Add:		
. 3	Costruction Advances and Contribution in Aid	\$	1,192,407
4	Less:		
5	Interdepartmental Electric Sales Revenue	\$	2,887,915
6	Bad Debts - Electric	\$	3,174,492
7	Rent from Electric Property	\$	1,858,242
. 8	Other Electric Revenues	\$	28,390,023
9	Sales for Resale	\$	1,008,737
10	Exempt Sales	\$	1,788,991
11	Taxable Amount	\$	1,363,048,760
12	Utility Receipts Tax Rate		<u>1.40</u> %
13	Electric Utility Receipts Tax	\$	19,082,682
14	Less:		
15	Actual 2007 Utility Receipts Tax Expense	<u>\$</u>	18,372,838
16	Utility Receipts Tax on Pro Forma Revenue	\$	709,844
17	Less:	•	7 477 050
18	Utility Receipts Tax on Trackable Fuel and Purchased Power (Adjustment OTX-6A)	<u>\$</u>	7,177,052
19	Decrease in Pro Forma Test Year Taxes Other Than Income	<u>\$</u>	(6,467,208)

This is the Utility Receipts Tax embedded in test year operating revenue related to fuel and purchased power.

Line			
No.	Description		Amount
	A		В
1	Fuel and Purchased Power	\$	524,316,389
2 3	Less: Non-Trackable Fuel Costs (Fuel Handling Expenses)	<u>\$</u>	11,669,787
4	Trackable Fuel and Purchased Power	\$	512,646,602
5	Utility Receipts Tax Rate		<u>1.40</u> %
6	Electric Utility Receipts Tax on Trackable Fuel and Purchased Power	<u>\$</u>	7,177,052

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year taxes other than income for the public utility fee related to the 2007 pro forma revenue at present rates.

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
1	2007 Electric Revenues	\$ 1,400,964,753
2	Less:	
3	Sales for Resale	\$ 1,008,737
4	Interdepartmental Electric Sales Revenue	\$ 2,887,915
5	Forfeited Discounts	\$ 3,713,444
6	Miscellaneous Service Revenues	\$ 930,140
7	Rent from Electric Property	\$ 1,858,242
8	Other Electric Revenues	\$ 28,390,023
9	Bad Debt - Electric	\$ 3,174,492
10	Taxable Amount	\$ 1,359,001,760
11	Public Utility Fee Rate	<u>0.1204</u> %
12	Public Utility Fee	\$ 1,636,229
13	Less: Actual 2007 Public Utility Fee Expense	\$ 1,425,020
14	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year Taxes Other Than Income	\$ 211,209

Petitioner's Exhibit LEM-3 (Revised) Northern Indiana Public Service Company Cause No. 43526 Adjustment SCOTX - 8

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Sugar Creek Pro Forma Adjustment to Taxes Other Than Income Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

This pro forma adjustment increased 2007 test year taxes other than income to adjust for electric property taxes for Sugar Creek for December 2008 through November 2009.

Line			
No.	Description	Amount	_
	A	В	
1	Sugar Creek Property Taxes: December 2008 - November 2009	\$ 697,59	3
2		<u>\$</u> -	_
3	Increase Pro Forma Test Year Taxes Other Than Income	\$ 697,59	3_

Petitioner's Exhibit LEM-3 (Revised) Northern Indiana Public Service Company Cause No. 43526 Adjustment ITX - 1

.

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Pro Forma Adjustment to Income Taxes Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

This pro forma adjustment decreased 2007 test year income taxes to adjust for the proforma level of pre-tax income utilization of the interest synchronization method.

Line			
No.	Description Description		Amount
	Α		В
4	Decrees in Day Forms Tout Vessels and Tour		(44 000 000)
1	Decrease in Pro Forma Test Year Income Taxes	•	(11.868,829)

Petitioner's Exhibit LEM-3 (2nd Revised) Northern Indiana Public Service Company Cause No. 43526 Adjustment PF - 1

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Pro Forma Adjustment Based on Proposed Rates Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

This proposed rates adjustment increased the 2007 test year revenue requirement based on an 8.37% rate of return on a net original cost rate base of \$2,665,437,036

Line		
No.	Description	Amount
	A	В
1	Actual Net Operating Income	\$ 173,056,305
2	Required Net Operating Income	\$ 223,095,808
3	Surplus (Deficit)	\$ (50,039,503)
4	Tax Gross-Up Rate	1.713542749
5	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year Revenue Requirement Based on Proposed Rates	\$ (85,744,828)

Petitioner's Exhibit LEM-3 (Revised) Northern Indiana Public Service Company Cause No. 43526 Adjustment PF - 2

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Pro Forma Adjustment Based on Proposed Rates Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

This proposed rates adjustment increased 2007 test year O&M expense to reflect the level of uncollectible accounts based on the proposed revenue requirement increase.

Line	•		
No.	Description		Amount
	A		В
1	Gross Margin Deficiency	\$	85,744,828
2	Uncollectible Accounts Rate		0.226593%
3	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year O&M Expense Based on Proposed Rates	<u>\$</u>	194,292

Petitioner's Exhibit LEM-3 (Revised) Northern Indiana Public Service Company Cause No. 43526 Adjustment PF - 3

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Pro Forma Adjustment Based on Proposed Rates Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

This proposed rates adjustment increased the 2007 test year taxes other than income to reflect the Indiana utility receipts tax associated with the proposed revenue requirement increase.

Line No.	Description		Amount
	. A	-	8
1	Gross Margin Deficiency	\$	85,744,828
2	IURT Rate		1.40%
3	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year Taxes Other Than Income Based on Proposed Rates	\$	1,200,428

Petitioner's Exhibit LEM-3 (Revised) Northern Indiana Public Service Company Cause No. 43526 Adjustment PF - 4

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Pro Forma Adjustment Based on Proposed Rates Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

This proposed rates adjustment increased the 2007 test year taxes other than income to reflect the public utility fees associated with the proposed revenue requirement increase.

Line	· 		
No.	Description		Amount
	A		В
1	Gross Margin Deficiency	\$	85,744,828
2	Public Utility Fee Rate		<u>0.1204</u> %
3	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year Taxes Other Than Income Based on Proposed Rates	<u>\$</u>	103,237

Petitioner's Exhibit LEM-3 (Revised) Northern Indiana Public Service Company Cause No. 43526 Adjustment PF - 5

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Pro Forma Adjustment Based on Proposed Rates Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

This proposed rates adjustment increased the 2007 test year income taxes to reflect the federal and state income taxes applied to the proposed revenue requirement increase.

Line	Description		Amount						
No.	A								
1	Gross Margin Deficiency	\$	85,744,828						
2	Effective Federal Tax Rate		31.423875%						
-3	Effective State Tax Rate		8.470506%						
4	Increase in Pro Forma Test Year Income Taxes Based on Proposed Rates	<u>\$</u>	34,207,368						

Petitioner's Exhibit LEM-4 (Revised) Northern Indiana Public Service Company Cause No. 43526 Page 1 of 2

Rate Base Actual, Jurisdictional, As Updated Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

Line					
No.	Description	Actual	 Updates		Total
	A	В	C		D
1	RATE BASE				
2	Utility Plant	\$ 4,967,588,851	\$ 237,989,897	\$	5,205,578,748
3	Common Allocated	213,322,211	1,180,329		214,502,540
4	Less Disallowed Plant: Unit 17	31,733,655	 		31,733,655
5	Total Utility Plant	5,149,177,407	239,170,226		5,388,347,633
8	Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization	(2,883,773,255)	83,392,777		(2,800,380,478)
6a	SC Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization	•	(5,618,432)		(5,618,432)
7	Common Allocated	(97,073,378)	(1,335,790)		(98,409,168)
8	Less Disallowed Plant: Unit 17	(27,399,652)	 •		(27,399,652)
9	Total Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization	(2,953,446,981)	 76,438,555		(2,877,008,426)
10	Net Utility Plant	2,195,730,426	315,608,781		2,511,339,207
11	Pure Air Deferred Charges	526,218	-		526,218
12	Unit 17 Depreciation	542,928	-		542,928
13	Unit 18 Depreciation	5,206,694	•		5,206,694
14	Unit 18 Carrying Charges	16,132,193	•		16,132,193
15	Prepaid Pension Asset	25,705,004			25,705,004
16	Materials & Supplies	46,907,735			46,907,735
16a	Materials & Supplies		1,495,291		1,495,291
17	Production Fuel	57,566,559	 		57,566,559
18	Total Rate Base	\$ 2,348,317,757	\$ 317,104,072	<u>\$</u>	2,685,421,829
19	REQUIRED NET OPERATING INCOME				
20	Total Rate Base			\$	2,665,421,829
21	Rate of Return			•	8,37%
22	Required Net Operating Income			\$	223,095,808

Summary of Rate Base Updates December 31, 2007 As Updated

Line No	Description	Exhibit No.	Debit	Credit
	A	В	 C	 D
1	Rate Base Updates:			
2	DH Mitchell Plant Retirement			
3	Mitchell Units 4, 5, 6, 11, and 9A- Plant-in-Service	RB - 1	\$	\$ 175,909,015
4	Mitchell Units 4, 5, 6, 11 and 9A - Accumulated Depreciation	RB - 2	\$ 178,072,088	\$ -
5	Michigan City 2&3 Plant Retirement			
6	MC Units 2 & 3 - Plant-in-Service	RB - 3	\$ -	\$ 19,395,755
7	MC Units 2 & 3 - Accumulated Depreciation	RB - 4	\$ 18,096,416	\$ -
8	Seven Factor Test			
9	Gross Plant	RB - 5	\$ 123,243,367	\$ 123,243,367
10	Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization	RB - 6	\$ 48,919,630	\$ 48,919,630
11	All Other Transfers / Corrections			
12	Electric			
13	Gross Plant	RB - 7	\$ 148,573,386	\$ 43,343,552
14	Accumulated Depreciation	RB - 8	\$ 17,622,081	\$ 130,397,808
15	Common			
16	Gross Plant	RB - 9	\$ 1,180,329	\$ -
17	Accumulated Depreciation	RB - 10	\$ •	\$ 1,335,790
18	Sugar Creek Material and Supplies	RB - 11	\$ 1,495,291	
19	Sugar Creek Gross Plant	RB - 12	\$ 328,064,833	
20	Accumulated Depreciation	RB - 13		\$ 5,618,432
21	Total Rate Base Updates		\$ 865,267,421	\$ 548,163,349
22	Net Increase / (Decrease)		\$ 317,104,072	

Capital Structure December 31, 2007 As Adjusted

Line No.	<u>Description</u> A		otal Company Capitalization B	Percent of Total C	<u>Cost</u>	Weighted Average Cost E
1	Common Equity	\$	1,395,245,772	49.94%	12.00%	5.99%
2	Long-Term Debt	\$	906,997,137	32.47%	6.56%	2.13%
3	Customer Deposits	\$	63,684,199	2.28%	6.00%	0.14%
4	Deferred Income Taxes	\$	294,780,249	10.55%	0.00%	0.00%
5	Post-Retirement Liability	\$	102,637,766	3.66%	0.00%	0.00%
6	Post-1970 ITC	<u>\$</u>	30,350,460	1.09%	9.86%	<u>0.11</u> %
7	Totals	\$	2,793,695,583	100.00%		8.37%

Cost of Investor Supplied Capital

	Description	Total Company Capitalization	Percent of Total	Cost	Weighted Average Cost
	A	В.	С	D	E
8	Common Equity	\$ 1,395,245,772	60.60%	12.00%	7.28%
9	Long-Term Debt	\$ 906,997,137	<u>39.40</u> %	6.56%	2.58%
10	Totals	\$ 2,302,242,909	100.00%		9.87%

Petitioner's Exhibit LEM-5 (2nd Revised) Northern Indiana Public Service Company Cause No. 43526 Page 2 of 3

Capital Structure December 31, 2007 As Adjusted

Line											Weighted
No.	Description	 2007 Actuals	Debit		Credit	Ref.	Pro	Forma Balance	Percent of Total	Cost	Average Cost
	Α	 В	 С		D	E		F	G	Н	, 1
1	Common Equity	\$ 1,394,077,564	\$ -	\$	1,168,208	CS - 1	\$	1,395,245,772	49.94%	12.00%	5.99%
. 2	Long-Term Debt	\$ 746,997,137	\$ -	\$	160,000,000	CS - 2	\$	906,997,137	32.47%	6.56%	2.13%
3	Customer Deposits	\$ 63,684,199	\$ -	\$	•		\$	63,684,199	2.28%	6.00%	0.14%
4	Deferred Income Taxes	\$ 293,984,257	\$ •	\$	795,992	CS - 3	\$	294,780,249	10.55%	0.00%	0.00%
5	Retirement Liability	\$ 112,678,496	\$ 10,040,730			CS - 4	\$	102,637,766	3.66%	0.00%	0.00%
6	Post-1970 ITC	\$ 30,350,460	\$ 	\$	<u>.</u>		\$	30,350,460	1.09%	9.86%	0.11%
7	Totals	\$ 2 641 772 113	\$ 10 040 730	•	161 964 200		\$	2 793 695 583	100 00%		8 37%

Petitioner's Exhibit No. LEM-S Northern Indiana Public Service Company Cause No. 43528 Page 3 of 3

Cost of Long-Term Debt December 31, 2007 As Adjusted

Line								Interest	
No.	Rate	Description	Date of Issuance	Date of Maturity	Princ	ipal Amount	R	equirement	Cost Rate
	A	В	C	D		E		F	G
		Pollution Control (1)							
4	5.75%	Series 1988 Notes Series A	November 3, 1988	November 1, 2016	e	37,000,000	\$	2,127,500	
,	5.75%	Series 1988 Notes Series B	November 3, 1988	November 1, 2016	Š	47,000,000	\$	2,702,500	
3	5.75%	Series 1988 Notes Series C	November 3, 1988	November 1, 2016	Š	46,000,000	Š	2,645,000	
Ă	4.75%	Series 1994 A Notes	August 25, 1994	August 1, 2010	Š	10,000,000	Š	475,000	
5	5.25%	Series 1994 B Notes	August 25, 1994	June 1, 2013	ě	18,000,000	č	945,000	
Ř	6.00%	Series 1994 C Notes	August 25, 1994	April 1, 2019	ě	41,000,000	č	2,460,000	
7	5.875%	Series 2003 C Notes	December 1, 2003	July 1, 2017	č	55,000,000	Š	3,231,250	
•	0.07070		December 1, 2000	July 1, 2017	*	00,000,000	*	0,201,200	
8		Intercompany Long-Term Debt							
9	5.42%	Intercompany LT Note 5.42%	June 28, 2005	June 26, 2020		137,500,000	\$	7,452,500	
10	5.21%	Intercompany LT Note 5.21%	June 28, 2005	June 27, 2015	\$	137,500,000	\$	7,163,750	
11	5.99%	Intercompany LT Note 5.985%	September 18, 2005	September 18, 2025	\$	75,000,000	\$	4,492,500	
12		Medium-Term Notes							
13	7.44%	Various Maturities			\$	165,200,000	\$	12,290,880	
		•			•	,00,200,000	•	12,200,000	
14		Long-Term Debt							
15	6.09%	LT Note 6.09% - Refinancing	June 6, 2008	June 6, 2018	\$	80,000,000	\$	4,872,000	
16	6.525%	LT Note 6.525%- Refinancing	June 6, 2008	June 6, 2023	\$	80,000,000	<u>\$</u>	5,220,000	
17		Total Long-Term Debt Per Balance Sheet			\$	929,200,000	\$	56,077,880	
18		Related Accounts:							
19		Unamortized Debt Discount and Expense (2)			\$	(6,622,844)	\$	•	
20		Unamortized Call Premiums on Early Redemption of	of Long Term Debt		\$	(15,580,019)	\$	•	
21		Amortization of Debt Discount and Expense (3)			\$	•	\$	707,015	
22		Amoritzation of Call Premiums on Early Redemptio	n of Long Term Debt		s	_	S	2,674,576	
			.		•		•		
		Total Laws Town Balatta adda Balanda Mill La	40.4						
23		Total Long-Term Debt Used to Calculate Weight	ea Cost		<u> </u>	906,997,137	\$	59,459,471	6.56%

(1) Projected rates from pending reoffering of Pollution Control Notes
 (2) Increased the Unamortized Debt Discount and Expense by \$850,000 for reoffering of Pollution Control Notes
 (3) Increased Amortization of Debt Discount and Expense by \$119,076 for reoffering of Pollution Control Notes

Petitioner's Exhibit No. LEM - 10 Cause No. 43526 Page 1 of 9

NORTHERN INDIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY

Determination of Reliability Adjustment

For the Estimated Months of

January , February and March 20XX

And the Billing Months of

February, March and April 20XX

Line <u>No.</u>			Line <u>No.</u>
1	Capacity Purchases (Page 2 of 9)	\$ 2,100,000	1
2	MISO Costs - Demand Allocated (Page 4 of 9)	3,000	. 2
3	Total Reliability Adjustment Demand Allocated Charges (Credits)	\$ 2,103,000	3
4	Purchased Power Purchases (Page 3 of 9)	\$ 24,750,000	4
5	MISO Costs - Energy Allocated (Page 4 of 9)	750,000	5
6	Off System Sales Net Revenue (Pge 5 of 9)	(480,000)	6
7	Total Reliability Adjustment Energy Allocated Charges (Credits)	\$ 25,020,000	7

		Deman	d Allocated Cha	arge	s	Energy Allocated Charges					
		Production	% of		Total	-	Forecasted			Total	
	Rate	Allocation	Total		Demand		KWH Sales	Percent of		Energy	
	Code				Allocated Costs		for Quarter	Total Sales	A	located Costs	
				C	ol. c x Total Col. d				Col.	f x Total Col. g	
	(a)	(b)	(c)		(d)		(e)	(f)		(g)	
8	511	\$ 422,891,887	34.91%	\$	734,157		1,000,000	22.517%	\$	5,633,866	8
9	521	30,582,843	2.52%		52,996		110,000	2.477%		619,725	9
10	523	164,025,617	13.54%		284,746		550,000	12.385%		3,098,626	10
11	526	11,600,134	0.96%		20,189		65,000	1.464%		366,201	11
12	527	8,753,270	0.72%		15,142		75,000	1.689%		422,540	12
13	533	238,348,307	19.67%		413,660		900,000	20.266%		5,070,480	13
14	534	271,111,737	22.37%		470,441		1,175,000	26.458%		6,619,793	14
15	536	56,614,273	4.67%		98,210		515,000	11.596%		2,901,441	15
16	541	1,253,830	0.10%		2,103		7,000	0.158%		39,437	16
17	544	623,071	0.05%		1,052		5,000	0.113%		28,169	17
18	545	433,565	0.04%		841		6,000	0.135%		33,803	18
19	550	905,717	0.07%		1,472		13,000	0.293%		73,240	19
20	555	489,448	0.04%		841		2,500	0.056%		14,085	20
21	560	264,034	0.02%		421		14,000	0.315%		78,874	21
22	interdepartmental	 3,908,418	0.32%	_	6,730		3,500	0.079%		19,719	22
23	Total	\$ 1,211,806,151	100.00%	\$	2,103,000		4,441,000	100.000%	\$	25,020,000	23

	Rate Code	Purc	Total capacity hase Costs	Pu	Total Energy Irchase Costs	Variance	Total Costs	Reliabilty Adjustment Rate	Reliabilty Adjustment Rate Modified for	
	(h)		Col. d (i)		<u>Col. a</u> (j)	(k)	 <u>Col. i + i + k</u> (l)	<u>Col. (I) / (e)</u> (m)	URTRS & AGIT (n)	
	6.7		(1)		07	(17)	10	. (111)	117	
24	511	\$	734,157	\$	5,633,866	\$ 112,587	\$ 6,480,611	6.4806	6.581	24
25	521		52,996		619,725	12,385	685,105	6.2282	6.325	25
26	523		284,746		3,098,626	61,923	3,445,296	6.2642	6.362	26
27	526		20,189		366,201	7,318	393,708	6.0571	6.151	27
28	527		15,142		422,540	8,444	446,126	5.9483	6.041	28
29	533		413,660		5,070,480	101,329	5,585,468	6.2061	6.303	29
30	534		470,441		6,619,793	132,290	7,222,524	6.1468	6.242	30
31	536		98,210		2,901,441	57,982	3,057,634	5.9372	6.029	31
32	541		2,103		39,437	788	42,328	6.0469	6.141	32
33	544		1,052		28,169	563	29,784	5.9568	6.049	33
34	545		841		33,803	676	35,320	5.8867	5.978	34
35	550		1,472		73,240	1,464	76,176	5.8597	5.951	35
36	555		841		14,085	281	15,207	6.0829	6.177	36
37	560		421		78,874	1,576	80,871	5.7765	5.866	37
38	Interdepartmental		6,730		19,719	 394	 26,842	7.6692	7.788	. 38
39	Total	\$	2,103,000	\$	25,020,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 27,623,000			39

Petitioner's Exhibit No. LEM - 10 Cause No. 43526 Page 2 of 9

NORTHERN INDIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY
Determination of Capacity Costs to be included in Reliability Adjustment For the Estimated Months of January, February and March 20XX And the Billing Months of February, March and April 20XX

LINE <u>NO.</u>	SUPPLIER	MWH PURCHASED	AMOUNT	LINE NO.
	Capacity Purchases			
1	January 20XX	500	\$ 700,000	1
2	February 20XX	500	700,000	2
3	March 20XX	500	700,000	3
4	TOTAL to be included in Reliability Adjustment	1,500	\$ 2,100,000	4

Petitioner's Exhibit No. LEM - 10 Cause No. 43526 Page 3 of 9

NORTHERN INDIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY

Determination of Purchased Power Costs to be included in Reliability Adjustment
For the Estimated Months of
January, February and March 20XX
And the Billing Months of
February, March and April 20XX

LINE NO.	SUPPLIER	MWH PURCHASED	AMOUNT	LINE <u>NO.</u>
	Energy Purchases	-		
1,	January 20XX			1
2	Purchases through MISO	40,000	\$ 2,200,000	2
3	Purchased Power other than MISO	90,000	4,950,000	3
4	TOTAL	130,000	\$ 7,150,000	4
5	February 20XX			5
6	Purchases through MISO	50,000	\$ 2,750,000	6
7	Purchased Power other than MISO	100,000	5,500,000	7
8	TOTAL	150,000	\$ 8,250,000	8
9	March 20XX			9
10	Purchases through MISO	45,000	\$ 2,475,000	10
11	Purchased Power other than MISO	125,000	6,875,000	11
12	TOTAL	170,000	\$ 9,350,000	12
13	TOTAL to be included in Reliability Adjustment	450,000	\$ 24,750,000	13

Petitioner's Exhibit No. LEM - 10 Cause No. 43526 Page 4 of 9

NORTHERN INDIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY

Determination of MISO to be included in Reliability Adjustment
For the Estimated Months of
January, February and March 20XX
And the Billing Months of
February, March and April 20XX

LINE <u>NO.</u>	SUPPLIER	A	AMOUNT			
	MISO Charges					
1	January , 20XX	\$	1,000	1		
2	February, 20XX		1,000	2		
3	March, 20XX		1,000	3		
4	Total MISO Charges - demand allocated	<u>\$</u>	3,000	4		
5	January , 20XX	\$	250,000	5		
6	February, 20XX		250,000	6		
7	March, 20XX		250,000	7		
8	Total MISO Charges - energy allocated	\$	750,000	8		
9	TOTAL to be included in Reliability Adjustment	\$	753,000	9		

NORTHERN INDIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY

Determination of Off System Sales Net Revenue included in Reliability Adjustment
For the Estimated Months of
January, February and March 20XX
And the Billing Months of
February, March and April 20XX

LINE NO.		MWH SOLD	REVENUES & FUEL COST	LINE NO.
	January 20XX			
1	Revenues:			1
2	Intersystem Sales through MISO	8,000	\$ 400,000	2
3	Costs:			3
4	Intersystem Sales through MISO	8,000	240,000	4
5	Net Revenue		\$ 160,000	5
	February 20XX			
6	Revenues:			6
7	Intersystem Sales through MISO	6,000	\$ 300,000	7
8	Costs:			8
9	Intersystem Sales through MISO	6,000	180,000	9
10	Net Revenue		\$ 120,000	10
	March 20XX			
11	Revenues:			11
12	Intersystem Sales through MISO	10,000	\$ 500,000	12
13	Costs:			13
14	Intersystem Sales through MISO	10,000	300,000	14
15	Net Revenue		\$ 200,000	15
16	TOTAL to be deducted from Reliability Adjustment		\$ 480,000	16

NORTHERN INDIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY Reconcilitation of Reliability Adjustment For the Months of Month A, Month B and Month C 20XX

Line	Rate Code	Ac	Reliability fjustment Costs Recovered		Variance from RA - 01	Reliability Adjustment Costs to be Reconciled with Actual Costs Incurred Col. b less Col. c		Actual Reliability Adjustment Costs		Variance Col. e less Col. d	Line
No.	(a)		(b)		(c)	(d)		(e)		(f)	No.
1	511	\$	2,138,602	\$	112,587	\$ 2,026,014	\$	2,038,269	\$	12,254	1
2	521		230,445		12,385	218,060		221,409		3,349	2
3	523		1,127,551		61,923	1,065,628		1,083,669		18,040	3
4	526		133,255		7,318	125,937		128,898		2,961	4
5	527		148,709		8,444	140,264		144,579		4,315	5
6	533		1,861,823		101,329	1,760,494		1,791,312		30,818	6
7	534		2,458,732		132,290	2,326,442		2,368,709		42,268	7
8	536		1,021,190		57,982	963,208		993,255		30,047	8
. 9	541		15,117		788	14,329		14,601		272	9
10	544		11,914		563	11,351		11,527		177	10
11	545		11,773		676	11,098		11,476		379	11
12	550		23,439		1,464	21,975		22,902		927	12
13	555		4,866		281	4,585		4,713		128	13
14	560		6,932		1,576	5,356		6,865		1,510	14
15	interdept.		38,346		394	 37,952	_	29,815	_	(8,137)	15
16		<u>\$</u>	9,232,693	<u>\$</u>	500,000	\$ 8,732,693	\$	8,872,000	5	139,307	16

NORTHERN INDIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY Reconciliation of Reliability Adjustment For the Months of Month

	Rate Code	KWH Sales (000's)	RT - 1 Reliability Adjustment Rate		Reliability Justment Costs Recovered Col. a. x. Col. c		Variance from RT - 01	to	Reliability ljustment Costs be Reconciled with Actual Costs Incurred Col. d less Col. e	Adj	Actual Reliability justment Costs		Variance . f less Col. g	
Line No.	(a)	(b)	(c)		(d)		(e)		(1)		(g)		(h)	Line No.
1	511	330,000	6.4806	s	2,138,602	\$	112,587	s	2,026,014	s	2,038,269	s	12,254	1
2	521	37,000	6.2282		230,445		12,385	•	218,060	•	221,409		3,349	2
3	523	180,000	6.2642		1,127,551		61,923		1,065,628		1,083,669		18,040	3
4	526	22,000	6.0571		133,255		7,318		125,937		128,898		2,961	4
5	527	25,000	5.9483		148,709		8,444		140,264		144,579		4,315	5
6	533	300,000	6.2061		1,861,823		101,329		1,760,494		1,791,312		30,818	6
7	534	400,000	6.1468		2,458,732		132,290		2,326,442		2,368,709		42,268	7
8	536	172,000	5.9372		1,021,190		57,982		963,208		993,255		30,047	8
9	541	2,500	6.0469		15,117		788		14,329		14,601		272	9
10	544	2,000	5.9568		11,914		563		11,351		11,527		177	10
11	545	2,000	5.8867		11,773		676		11,098		11,476		379	11
12	550	4,000	5.8597		23,439		1,464		21,975		22,902		927	12
13	555	800	6.0829		4,866		281		4,585		4,713		128	13
14	560	1,200	5.7765		6,932		1,576		5,356		6,865		1,510	14
15	Interdept.	5,000	7.6692		38,346	_	394	_	37,952	_	29,815		(8,137)	15
16		1,483,500		\$	9,232,693	_	500,000	\$	8,732,693	<u>\$</u>	8,872,000	\$	139,307	16

	Rate Code	Production Allocation	% of Total		Total ctual Demand located Costs	Total Capacity Purchases per Kwh	P	Total Energy urchase Costs	Adj	Total Reliability ustment Costs	
	(i)	()	(k)		(1)	(m)		(n)		(o)	
17	511	\$ 422,891,887	34.91%	\$	178,390	22.245%	\$	1,859,879	\$	2,038,269	17
18	521	30,582,843	2.52%		12,877	2.494%		208,532		221,409	18
19	523	164,025,617	13.54%		69,189	12.133%		1,014,479		1,083,669	19
20	526	11,600,134	0.96%		4,906	1.483%		123,992		128,898	20
21	527	8,753,270	0.72%		3,679	1.685%		140,900		144,579	21
22	533	238,348,307	19.67%		100,514	20.222%		1,690,799		1,791,312	22
23	534	271,111,737	22.37%	,	114,311	26.963%		2,254,398		2,368,709	23
24	536	56,614,273	4.67%		23,864	11.594%		969,391		993,255	24
25	541	1,253,830	0.10%		511	0.169%		14,090		14,601	25
26	544	623,071	0.05%		256	0.135%		11,272		11,527	26
27	545	433,565	0.04%		204	0.135%		11,272		11,476	27
28	550	905,717	0.07%		358	0.270%		22,544		22,902	28
29	555	489,448	0.04%		204	0.054%		4,509		4,713	29
30	560	264,034	0.02%		102	0.081%		6,763		6,865	30
31	Interdept.	 3,908,418	0.32%		1,635	0.337%		28,180		29,815	31
32	Total	\$ 1,211,806,151	100.00%	\$	511,000	100.00%	\$	8,361,000	\$	8,872,000	32

Petitioner's Exhibit No. LEM - 10 Cause No. 43526 Page 8 of 9

NORTHERN INDIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY

Reliability Adjustment Reconciliation
Summary of Costs in the Reliability Adjustment
Month

Line No.			Amount	Line No.
1	Capacity Purchases	\$	510,000	1
2	MISO Transmission Costs - demand allocated		1,000	2
3	Total Demand Allocated Costs	<u>\$</u>	511,000	3
4	Purchases through MISO	\$	1,950,000	4
5	Purchased Power other than MISO		6,085,000	5
6	MISO Non-FAC Charges		405,000	6
7	MISO Transmission Costs - energy allocated		68,000	7
8	LESS; Off System Sales Net Revenue		147,000	8
9	Total Energy Allocated Costs	<u>\$</u>	8,361,000	9
10	Total Reliability Adjustment Costs	\$	8,872,000	10

NORTHERN INDIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY MISO Charges Included in Reliability Adjustment by Charge Type Month

	Month			
Line No.	Charge Type			Line No.
110.				
1	Day Ahead Market Administration Amount	\$	250,000	1
2	Day Ahead Financial Bilateral Transaction Congestion Amount		-	2
3	Day Ahead Financial Bilateral Transaction Loss Amount		•	3
4	Day Ahead Non-Asset Energy Amount		(600,000)	4
5	Day Ahead Revenue Sufficiency Guarantee Distribution Amount		100,000	5
6	Day Ahead Virtual Energy Amount		-	6
7	Day Ahead Schedule 24 Allocation	-	50,000	7
8	Day Ahead Subtotal	\$	(200,000)	8
9	Financial Transmission Rights Market Administration Amount		23,000	9
10	Financial Transmission Rights Subtotal	\$	23,000	10
11	Real-Time Market Administration Fee Amount	\$	25,000	11
12	Real Time Financial Bilateral Transaction Congestion Amount		-	12
13	Real Time Financial Bilateral Transaction Loss Amount		-	13
14	Real Time Congestion Rebate on Carve-Out Grandfathered Agrmnts		-	14
15	Real Time Loss Rebate on Carve-Out Grandfathered Agrmnts		-	15
16	Real Time Miscellaneous Amount		30,000	16
17	Real Time Non-Asset Energy Amount		(500,000)	17
18	Real Time Net Inadvertent Distribution Amount		(1,000)	18
19	Real Time Price Volatility Make Whole		(25,000)	19
20	Real Time Revenue Neutrality Uplift Amount		1,000,000	20
21	Real Time Revenue Sufficiency Guarantee First Pass Distribution Amount		200,000	21
	•		200,000	
22	Real Time Virtual Energy Amount		•	22
23	Real Time Revenue Sufficiency Guarantee First Pass/Second Pass Distribution Amount Carve Out		-	23
24	Real Time Non-Asset Energy Fin Sched Carve Out		•	24
25	Market Administration Virtual and Fin-Phys Carve Out			25
26	Real Time Schedule 24 Allocation		3,000	26
27	Real Time Schedule 24 Distribution		(150,000)	27
28	Real Time Revenue Neutrality Uplift Amount - Second Pass RSG Carve Out			28
29	Real Time Subtotal	\$	582,000	29
30	MISO Day 2 Charges Recovered in Reliability Adjustment	\$	405,000	30
31	Schedule 10 - ISO Cost Recovery Adder	\$	200,000	31
32	Schedule 10 - FERC		50,000	32
33	Schedule 11 - Transmission Adjustment		3,000	33
34	Transmission Charges Subtotal	\$	253,000	34
35	Schodulo 1 Schoduling System Central and Dispetch Soning	œ	(25,000)	35
	Schedule 1 - Scheduling, System Control and Dispatch Service	\$	(25,000)	
36	Schedule 2 - Reactive Supply And Voltage Control/Generation Sources Service		(40,000)	36
37	Schedule 7 - Long-Term/Short-Term Firm Point-to-Point Transmission		(80,000)	37
38	Schedule 8 - Non-Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service		(40,000)	38
39	Schedule 11 - Transmission Adjustment		-	39
40	Transmission Revenues Subtotal	\$	(185,000)	40
41	MISO Transmission Charges Recovered in Reliability Adjustment	\$	68,000	41
42	MISO Charges Recovered in Reliability Adjustment - Energy Allocated	\$	473,000	42
43	Schedule 26 - Network Upgrade Charge from Transmission Expansion		1,000	43
44	MISO Charges Recovered in Reliability Adjustment - Demand Allocated	\$	1,000	44

			•
			į.
	,	•	
•			
4 -			
			- - - -

1 XI. FAIR VALUE RATE BASE

- 2 Q57. Have you also considered what would represent the fair value of the Company's
- 3 property?
- 4 A57. Yes. I have derived a fair value rate base for the Company that gives weight to both the replacement cost new less depreciation ("Replacement Cost") and the original cost less 5 6 depreciation ("Original Cost") of the Company's utility property. In particular, I have 7 derived a weighted fair value rate base by giving 49.94% weight to Replacement Cost 8 and 50.05% weight to Original Cost. These relative weights were determined from the 9 capital structure ratios calculated by NIPSCO Witness Linda E. Miller, as shown on page 10 1 of <u>Petitioner's Exhibit LEM-5</u>. The 49.94% weight assigned to the Replacement Cost 11 value represents the Company's common equity ratio. The weight assigned to the 12 Original Cost represents the remaining components of the Company's ratesetting capital 13 structure. This method represents a compromise approach that is intended to make sure 14 that, at a minimum the Company gets the benefit of the appreciation in value of its assets 15 to the extent they were financed by the common equity investor.

16 Q58. What amount did you use for the Replacement Cost of the property?

17 A58. My starting point was the replacement cost less depreciation valuation of the Company's
18 utility plant in service as of December 31, 2007 performed by NIPSCO Witness John P.
19 Kelly adjusted for economic depreciation, which is shown on Petitioner's Exhibit JPK-3
20 to be \$6,329,750,643. To this amount, I added \$322,446,401 for Sugar Creek and the
21 deferred charges, proposed pension asset, materials and supplies and production fuel

Petitioner's Exhibit PRM-1 Northern Indiana Public Service Company Cause No. 43526 Page 44

- shown on <u>Petitioner's Exhibit LEM-4</u>, page 1 of 2, sponsored by Ms. Miller which total
- 2 \$154,082,622. This resulted in a total Replacement Cost rate base of \$6,806,421,829.
- 3 Q59. What amount did you use for the Original Cost of the Company's property?
- 4 A59. I used the amount of \$2,665,421,829, which is the Original Cost rate base supported by
- 5 Ms. Miller as shown on <u>Petitioner's Exhibit LEM-4</u>, page 1.
- 6 Q60. What weighted fair value rate base did you derive from this data?
- 7 A60. Using the methodology described above, I developed a fair value rate base of
- 8 \$4,733,099,690 as follows:

Valuation Method	Amount	Weight	W	eighted Amount
Replacement Cost	\$ 6,806,279,666	49.94%	\$	3,399,056,065
Original Cost	\$ 2,665,421,829	50.05%	\$	1,334,043,625
Fair Value		99.99%	\$.	4,733,099,690

- 10 Q61. Does this conclude your prepared direct testimony?
- 11 A61. Yes.

9

Petitioner's Exhibit PRM-2
Northern Indiana Public Service Company
Cause No.43526
Page 3 of 29
Schedule 1 [1 of 1]
REVISED

Northern Indiana Public Service Company

Rate of Return Applicable to an Original Cost Rate Base For the Test Year Ending December 31, 2007, As Adjusted

Investor Provided Capital	Ratios	Cost Rate	Weighted Cost Rate
Long-Term Debt	39.40%	6.56%	2.58%
Common Equity	60.60%	12.00%	7.27%
Total	_100.00%		9.86%

Indicated levels of fixed charge coverage assuming that the Company could actually achieve its overall cost of capital:

Pre-tax coverage of interest expense based upor	n a
40.525% composite federal and state income ta	ax rate
(14.81% ÷ 2.58%)	5.73 x
Post-tax coverage of interest expense	
(9.86% ÷ 2.58%)	3.81 x

For Ratesetting Purposes	Ratios	Cost Rate	Weighted Cost Rate
Long-Term Debt	32.47%	6.56%	2.13%
Common Equity	49.94%	12.00%	5.99%
Customer Deposits	2.28%	6.00%	0.14%
Cost-free Capital	14.21%	0.00%	0.00%
JDITC	1.09%	9.86%	0.11%
Total	100.00%		8.37%

rates and transaction costs for the remarketed bonds would result in a weighted cost of

debt that is not significantly different from the estimate shown in <u>Petitioner's Exhibit</u>

<u>LEM-5</u>, page 3 of 3.

4 Q22. How do the actual debt costs associated with the August 25, 2008 remarketing compare to the estimates in Petitioner's Exhibit LEM-5, page 3 of 3?

A22. The actual interest rates were slightly lower than the projections and the placement agent's fees were higher resulting in an effective debt cost rate that is not significantly different. The difference in the interest rates are shown below:

Projected Interest Rate Issue **Actual Interest Rate** Series 1988A 5.60% 5.75% Series 1988B 5.60% 5.75% Series 1988C 5.75% 5.60% Series 1994A 4.15% 4.75% Series 1994B 5.20% 5.25% Series 1994C 5.85% 6.00% Series 2003C 5.70% 5.875% Weighted Average 5.80% 5.58%

Although the actual interest rates shown above are less than the projections, the actual placement agent's fees were \$1,016,000 which is \$366,000 greater than the projection. The net effect is an "all-in" effective debt cost rate that is not significantly different. Petitioner's Exhibit VVR-2, page 1 of 1, shows the calculation of the weighted cost of

1011

12

13

14

6

7

8

1		replaced with a fixed-rate offering, which, depending upon market circumstances at the
2		time, may bear a fixed rate of interest considerably higher than today's fixed rates.
3	Q24.	Please describe the proposed debt financing related to the acquisition of the Sugar
4		Creek Facility.
5	A24.	As discussed by NIPSCO Witness Bradley K. Sweet, the acquisition of the Sugar Creek
6		Facility was closed on May 30, 2008 at a purchase price of \$329,672,739. NIPSCO
7		proposes to finance \$120 million of the purchase price with long-term debt in the form of
8		notes issued to NFC. The actual interest rate will depend on market conditions at the
9		time the debt is issued. NIPSCO currently projects an interest rate of 6.50% for this new
10		debt.
11	v.	CONCLUSION
12	Q25.	Does this conclude your prepared direct testimony?

13

A25. Yes, it does.



1	A33.	NIPSCO's plan is to replace the 2,351 KWh only meters with manual-read Interval
2		Demand Recorders ("IDRs") in 2009. If NIPSCO is unable to complete this installation,
3		NIPSCO will not assess any demand charges on any customer that does not have a
4		demand meter.
5	Q34.	Please identify the installed meter cost associated with replacing the KWh only
6		meters with manual-read IDRs for Proposed Rate 523.
7	A34.	The installed meter cost budgeted for 2009 is approximately \$1.4 million.
8 9	Q35.	Are there any additional costs associated with deployment of IDRs?
10	A35.	Yes. Incremental costs will be incurred for the hand-held devices and labor required to
11		physically read the meters and to make the required upgrades to the software application
12		used at NIPSCO to capture data from IDR type meters and to NIPSCO's Customer
. 13		Information System so that IDR meter information can be billed. These costs have not
14		been included in NIPSCO's budget for 2009 or beyond.
15	Q36.	Is NIPSCO seeking recovery of the costs of the IDR in this rate case?
16	A36.	No. NIPSCO intends to seek recovery in its Demand Side Management Alternative
17		Regulatory Plan, which is more fully described by Mr. Shambo.
18	Q37.	Please explain the benefits of switching from KWh only meters to IDRs.
19	A37.	The benefits include improved load research and forecasting capabilities, the collection of
20		demand information, as well as enhanced customer service.

Q38. Please explain the improvement in load research and forecasting.

21